

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 AMAZON.COM, INC., et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 CHUN WONG, et al.,

14 Defendants.

CASE NO. C19-0990JLR

ORDER ON STATUS REPORT

15 The court is in receipt of Plaintiffs Amazon.com, Inc. (“Amazon”) and Nite Ize,
16 Inc.’s (“Nite Ize”) (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) status report and response to the court’s
17 March 11, 2022 show cause order. (Report (Dkt. # 77); OSC (Dkt. # 66).) The court
18 previously ordered Plaintiffs to (1) provide proof of service for non-appearing
19 Defendants Shenzhen Haiming Limited (“Shenzhen”) and Hu Nan Yun Dong Limited
20 (“Hu Nan”), as well as for any individuals identified and joined in place of John Does
21 1-10, by May 20, 2022, or (2) show cause why those parties should not be dismissed
22 based on Plaintiffs’ failure to serve them in this action. (*See* OSC at 1-2.)


1 Plaintiffs hoped that discovery from Defendant Chun Wong would “lead to
2 information that would allow them to complete service on Defendants Shenzhen and Hu
3 Nan” but report that—as a consequence of Mr. Wong’s failure to provide discovery, in
4 violation of the court’s orders—they remain unable “to locate Defendants Shenzhen and
5 Hu Nan[,] or to identify any additional Doe Defendants.” (Report at 2.) Plaintiffs further
6 report that in March 2022, in anticipation of Mr. Wong’s “failures to comply with his
7 discovery obligations” they hired “independent investigators in Hong Kong and China to
8 investigate Defendants Shenzhen and Hu Nan, as well as the Doe Defendants.” (*Id.*)
9 Although “[t]hat investigation is ongoing,” it “has been delayed due to COVID-related
10 lockdowns in China.” (*Id.*) Accordingly, Plaintiffs “request a 90-day extension of the
11 deadlines to serve Defendants Shenzhen and Hu Nan, as well as the deadline to amend
12 the pleadings and identify any Doe Defendants discovered through the investigation.”
13 (*Id.* at 3.)

14 For good cause shown, the court GRANTS Plaintiffs’ request for a 90-day
15 extension, to August 18, 2022, to the deadline to serve Defendants Shenzhen and Hu Nan
16 and to amend their complaint with the identities of the Doe Defendants. If Plaintiffs are
17 unable to meet that deadline, they must SHOW CAUSE by that same date why Shenzhen,
18 Hu Nan, and John Does 1-10 should not be dismissed from this action based on their
19 failure to complete service on those named Defendants in accordance with Federal Rule
20 of Civil Procedure 4.

21 The Clerk is DIRECTED to mail a copy of this order to Mr. Wong.

22 //

1 Dated this 23rd day of May, 2022.

2 

3 JAMES L. ROBART
4 United States District Judge
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22